



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,898	12/22/2004	Christopher Greenway	540-542	3194
23117 7590 12/21/2007 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			EXAMINER BRIGGS, NATHANAEL R	
			ART UNIT 2871	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 12/21/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

21

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/518,898	GREENWAY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nathanael R. Briggs	2871	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 October 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 6-7, filed 11 October 2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 6 under 35 USC § 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive, particularly in that the electro-optic switches of Caracci do not switch the inputs to another of the outputs. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of additional prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. **Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leslie et al. (US 2003/0142262).**
5. Regarding claim 1, Leslie discloses a switchable coupler (see figures 9-10, for instance) comprising: a first optical waveguide defining an inlet port (any one of inputs 1-4, 10) for a first unpolarised light input and a first outlet port (any one of outputs 1-4, 60), a second optical waveguide (any other of inputs 1-4) defining an inlet port for a second unpolarised light input and a second outlet port (any other of outputs 1-4), a

polarisation splitter device (20) positioned between said waveguides to split each of said first unpolarised light input and said second unpolarised light input into refracted (TE) and reflected (TM) polarised components, the waveguides being arranged, in the absence of activated first and second electro-optical switches, to transmit said refracted (TE) and reflected (TM) polarised components of said first light input by total internal reflection ([0034]) in the direction of said first outlet port (60), and the refracted (TE) and reflected (TM) polarised components of said second light input by total internal reflection ([0034]) in the direction of said second outlet port (60), a first electro-optical switch (40) positioned in the paths of said refracted (TE) and reflected (TM) polarised components of said first light input, said first electro-optical switch (40) for recombining said refracted (TE) and reflected (TM) polarised components of said first light input and, when activated, to switch these combined components towards said second outlet port (60), and a second electro-optical switch (30) positioned in the paths of said refracted (TE) and reflected (TM) polarised components of said second light input, for recombining said refracted (TE) and reflected (TM) polarised components of said second light input and, when activated, to switch these combined components to said first outlet port (60). Claim 1 is therefore unpatentable.

6. Regarding claim 2, Leslie discloses a switchable coupler (see figures 9-10, for instance), as in claim 1, in which said polarisation splitter device (20) includes liquid crystal positioned between said waveguides (see figures 1-8). Claim 2 is therefore unpatentable.

7. Regarding claim 3, Leslie discloses a switchable coupler (see figures 9-10, for instance), as in claim 2, in which said liquid crystal material (see figures 1-8) defines two separate cells, one of said liquid crystal cells serving to split said first unpolarised light input, and the other of said liquid crystal cell serving to split said second unpolarised light input. Claim 3 is therefore unpatentable.

8. Regarding claim 4, Leslie discloses a switchable coupler (see figures 9-10, for instance), as in claim 1, in which at least one of said electro-optical switches (30, 40) includes liquid crystal (see figures 1-8) positioned between said waveguides, and an electric field device is provided to generate an electric field across said liquid crystal to operate the electro-optical switch. Claim 4 is therefore unpatentable.

9. Regarding claim 5, Leslie discloses a switchable coupler (see figures 9-10, for instance), as in claim 4, in which said liquid crystal material defines two separate cells, and one of these liquid crystal cells forms part of each said electro-optical switch (30, 40). Claim 5 is therefore unpatentable.

10. Regarding claim 6, Leslie discloses a method of coupling first and second inputs of unpolarised light (see figures 9-10, for instance) comprising: splitting each of (20) said first (any one of 1-4) and second (any other one of 1-4) inputs into respective refracted (TE) and reflected (TM) polarised components, transmitting said refracted (TE) and reflected (TM) components of said first input to a first electro-optical switch (30 or 40) for recombining the said refracted (TE) and reflected (TM) components of said first input and to switch the recombined output from a first outlet to a second outlet (any other one of 60), transmitting said refracted (TE) and reflected (TM) components of said

second input to a second electro-optical switch (30 or 40) for recombining said refracted (TE) and reflected (TM) components of said second input and to switch said recombined output from said second outlet to said first outlet (any other of 1-4 of 60), and selecting the operation of said first and second electro-optical switches (30 or 40) to couple said first and second inputs into an outlet from the group comprising said first outlet and said second outlet. Claim 6 is therefore unpatentable.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathanael R. Briggs whose telephone number is (571) 272-8992. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 AM - 5:30 PM Monday through Friday.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:
10/518,898
Art Unit: 2871

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nathanael Briggs
12/18/07


NATHANAEL BRIGGS
12/18/07